arXiv:1704.08135v1 [math.FA] 26 Apr 2017

LINEAR RESOLVENT GROWTH FOR OPERATORS WITH SPECTRA ON A CURVE AND THEIR SIMILARITY TO NORMALS

MICHAEL A. DRITSCHEL, DANIEL ESTÉVEZ, AND DMITRY YAKUBOVICH

Abstract. We give some new criteria for a Hilbert space operator with spectrum on a smooth curve to be similar to a normal one, in terms of pointwise and integral estimates of the resolvent. These results generalize criteria of Stampfli, van Casteren and Naboko. The main tools are our recent result [15] about the dilation to the boundary of a curve and the Dynkin functional calculus for smooth functions, based on the pseudoanalytic continuation.

1. Introduction

As Stampfli proved in 1969 (see [41]), if $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth curve, T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space H whose spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is contained in Γ, and there is a neighborhood U of Γ such that $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma$, then T is normal. Theorems of this type were first proved by Nieminen [33] for the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$ and by Donoghue [14] for the case when Γ is a circle.

If Γ is not smooth, a result of this kind need no longer be true. A counterexample can be found in [40]. Even if Γ is a circle, the condition $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\Gamma$, where C is a constant greater than 1, is not sufficient for T to be *similar* to a normal operator; that is, for some invertible S and normal operator N, to have $T = SNS^{-1}$. See the paper Markus [28]. The paper by Benamara and Nikolski [3, Section 3.2] contains a general result in this direction, and in a related article [35], Nikolski and Treil give a counterexample where T is a rank one perturbation of a unitary operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$.

Nevertheless, the hypothesis in Stampfli's theorem can be successfully weakened. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the set of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H. We will prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan curve, and Ω the domain it bounds. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Assume that*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1`}\| \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in U \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

for some open set U containing $\partial\Omega$, and

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

for some constant C. Then T is similar to a normal operator.

In other words, we assume that a resolvent estimate with constant 1 is satisfied outside Ω and an estimate with constant C is satisfied inside Ω (then $C \ge 1$). The same conclusion holds if, vice versa, these estimates hold with with constant 1 inside Ω and with constant C outside Ω; see the Remark at the end of Section 4.

The proof of Theorem 1 will use a generalization of a theorem of Putinar and Sandberg on complete K-spectral sets that was proved in [15]. In fact, Theorem 1 is an easy corollary of this generalization and Lemma 6, which is stated below. Section 6 contains several examples related to Theorem 1.

Many different kinds of conditions implying normality of an operator have been studied. See, for instance, [4–6]. In [7,8], Campbell and Gellar studied operators T for which T^*T and $T+T^*$

Date: April 27, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10; Secondary 47B15, 47A60.

commute, showing, for instance, that if $\sigma(T)$ is a subset of a vertical line or $\mathbb{R}$, then T is normal, in [13] Djordjević gave several conditions for an operator to be normal using the Moore-Penrose inverse. Gheondea considered operators which are the product of two normal operators in [23]. See also [29] and references therein.

Here we exhibit conditions for an operator to be similar to a normal operator in term of estimates of its resolvent. Others have done likewise. In [9], van Casteren proved the following.

Theorem VC1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Assume that T satisfies the resolvent estimate*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C(1-|\lambda|)^{-1}, \qquad |\lambda| < 1$$

and

$$\|T^n\| \leq C, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

Then T is similar to a unitary.

An operator satisfying the last condition in this theorem is said to be power bounded. van Casteren improved this result in [10], as follows.

Theorem VC2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Assume that T satisfies the resolvent estimate*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C(1-|\lambda|)^{-1}, \qquad |\lambda| < 1,$$

and for $1 < r < 2$ and $x \in H$,

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T^*-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{r-1}.$$

Then T is similar to a unitary.

By writing the power series for the resolvent, one can check that every power bounded operator satisfies the last two conditions in this theorem.

A related result was proved independently by Naboko in [30]:

Theorem N. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Assume that T satisfies the resolvent conditions*

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad 1 < r < 2, \ x \in H,$$

and

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(T^*-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{1-r}, \qquad r < 1, \ x \in H.$$

Then T is similar to a unitary.

Each of the above-cited Theorems VC1, VC2 and N gives in fact necessary and sufficient conditions for similarity to a unitary operator.

In these theorems, it is possible to replace T by T^*, T^{-1} or T^{*-1}, thus obtaining yet other criteria. For related results and conditions, we refer the reader to [34, Section 1.5.6]. The conditions in Theorems VC2 and N are not comparable, so there is no easy way of deducing one from the other.

Additionally, we present analogues of criteria of van Casteren and Naboko, generalized from the circle to a smooth curve Γ. The corresponding integral conditions use the existence of a family of curves, tending "nicely" to Γ (from both sides) in place of circles $|\lambda| = r$; details are given at the beginning of Section 5.

The paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 are preparatory. Section 2, contains the basic facts about the pseudoanalytic extension of functions and Dynkin's functional calculus for an operator T with first order resolvent growth (that is, growth which is linear in the resolvent) near the spectrum. In Section 3, we use this calculus to show that the resolvent estimates for an operator T with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$ are equivalent to corresponding resolvent estimates for $\eta(T)$, where η is a smooth diffeomorphism from Γ to $\mathbb{T}$, so that $\sigma(\eta(T)) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Section 4 deals with the proof

of Theorem 1, while in Section 5, we formulate and prove analogues of mean-square criteria by van Casteren and Naboko. Finally, Section 6 contains a brief discussion of related results in the literature and a pair of examples.

2. Dynkin's functional calculus

Our key technical tool will be a generalization of the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus as defined by Dynkin in [16] using the Cauchy-Green formula. Before going into details, we need to set down some definitions and notation.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. This means that Γ is the image of $\mathbb{T}$ under a bijective map $\psi : \mathbb{T} \to \Gamma$ such that $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$, ψ' does not vanish and ψ' is Hölder α; that is,

$$|\psi'(z) - \psi'(w)| \le C|z - w|^\alpha, \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{T}.$$

A function $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ if $f \circ \psi \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $(f \circ \psi)'$ is Hölder α. As an important example of such a function, take $f = \psi^{-1}$. This function has the additional properties that $f(\Gamma) = \mathbb{T}$, f^{-1} exists as a map from $\mathbb{T}$ to Γ and is differentiable.

The norm for $f \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ is defined as

$$\|f\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)} = \|f \circ \psi\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} + \|(f \circ \psi)'\|_{C(\mathbb{T})} + \|(f \circ \psi)'\|_\alpha,$$

where

$$\|g\|_\alpha = \sup_{z,w \in \mathbb{T}, z \ne w} \frac{|g(z) - g(w)|}{|z - w|^\alpha}.$$

The definition of this norm depends on the choice of the parametrization ψ, but different choices yield equivalent norms.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, where Γ is a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$. We assume that T satisfies the following resolvent growth condition:

$$\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma. \tag{1}$$

Following Dynkin [16], we will now define a $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ functional calculus for T. We remark that Dynkin defines his calculus also for a scale of other function algebras instead of $C^{1+\alpha}$ and operators satisfying resolvent estimates different from (1). However, we will only treat the case that we need in the sequel.

First, let us recall the notion of pseudoanalytic extension. If $f \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$, then by [17, Theorem 2] there is a function $F \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F|\Gamma = f$ and

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)\right| \le C\|f\|_{C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)} \operatorname{dist}(z, \Gamma)^\alpha. \tag{2}$$

Here, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$ and C is a constant depending only on Γ. Every such function F which extends f and satisfies (2) is called *a pseudoanalytic extension of* f.

Dynkin uses the pseudoanalytic extension F to define the operator $f(T)$ by means of the Cauchy-Green integral formula. Let D be a domain with smooth boundary such that $\Gamma \subset D$. We define

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} F(\lambda)(\lambda - T)^{-1}\, d\lambda - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}}(\lambda)(\lambda - T)^{-1}\, dA(\lambda).$$

The inequality (2) for F and the resolvent estimate (1) for T imply that the second integral is well defined. It is possible to prove that the definition does not depend on the particular choice of D or the pseudoanalytic extension F.

This calculus has the usual properties of a functional calculus: it is continuous from $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ to $\mathcal{B}(H)$, is linear and multiplicative, and coincides with the natural definition of $f(T)$ if f is rational. It also satisfies the spectral mapping property: $\sigma(f(T)) = f(\sigma(T))$.

3. Passing from Γ to $\mathbb{T}$

We now explain how to use Dynkin's functional calculus to pass from an operator T with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$ to an operator A with $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{T}$. The main result of this section is Theorem 3, which relates the estimates for the resolvents of T and A. In this way, resolvent growth conditions for T imply equivalent conditions for A and conversely. This equivalence plays a key role in what follows.

The next lemma gives regularity conditions for a certain function $\eta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{T}$, which enables us to construct the operator $A = \eta(T)$ using the Dynkin functional calculus.

Lemma 2. *Let Γ be a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$ and $\eta \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ a function such that $\eta(\Gamma) = \mathbb{T}$ and $\eta^{-1} : \mathbb{T} \to \Gamma$ exists and is differentiable. Let us fix any pseudoanalytic extension of η to $\mathbb{C}$, which we also denote by η. Then there is a neighborhood U of Γ such that $\eta : U \to \eta(U)$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism, $\eta(U)$ is a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}$, and η is bi-Lipschitz in U; that is, there are positive constants c and C such that*

$$c|z - w| \le |\eta(z) - \eta(w)| \le C|z - w|, \qquad z, w \in U.$$

A consequence of this lemma that we use frequently is that for $\lambda \in U$, $\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\eta(\lambda), \mathbb{T}))$ are comparable.

Proof of Lemma 2. Since $\partial\eta/\partial\overline{z} \equiv 0$ on Γ, the condition that $\eta^{-1} : \mathbb{T} \to \Gamma$ is differentiable implies that the differential of η is non-singular on Γ. Therefore, for each point $x \in \Gamma$, there is an open ball $B(x, r(x))$ of center x and radius $r(x)$ such that η is bi-Lipschitz on $B(x, r(x))$. By a compactness argument, η is Lipschitz on some neighborhood of Γ.

Since $\eta|\Gamma$ is injective,

$$\delta(\varepsilon) := \min_{\substack{|x-y|\ge\varepsilon \\ x,y\in\Gamma}} |\eta(x) - \eta(y)| > 0$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. It follows that there is some function φ such that $\varphi(\varepsilon) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and

$$\widetilde{\delta}(\varepsilon) := \min_{\substack{|x-y|\ge\varepsilon \\ \operatorname{dist}(x,\Gamma)\le\varphi(\varepsilon),\ \operatorname{dist}(y,\Gamma)\le\varphi(\varepsilon)}} |\eta(x) - \eta(y)| > 0$$

for every ε in an interval $(0, \varepsilon_0)$.

We pass to a finite collection of centers $\{x_j\}$ on Γ such that the balls $B(x_j, r(x_j)/2)$ cover Γ and put $\varepsilon_0 = \min r(x_j)/2$. Let us check that η is bi-Lipschitz on the open set

$$W = \left(\bigcup_j B\left(x_j, \frac{r(x_j)}{2}\right) \right) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) < \varphi(\varepsilon_0)\}.$$

Given points $x, y \in W$, then either $|x - y| < \varepsilon_0$, so that x, y both belong to the same ball $B(x_k, r(x_k))$, and so η is bi-Lipschitz, or $|x - y| \ge \varepsilon_0$. In the latter case,

$$|\eta(x) - \eta(y)| \ge \widetilde{\delta}(\varepsilon_0) \ge \widetilde{\delta}(\varepsilon_0)\varepsilon_0^{-1}|x - y|.$$

The injectivity of η follows from the bi-Lipschitz property. The fact that we may choose $U \subset W$ so that η is a C^1 diffeomorphism of U is true because the differential of η is non-singular in some neighborhood of Γ. Finally, since $\eta(\Gamma) = \mathbb{T}$ and η is an open mapping by being bi-Lipschitz, $\eta(U)$ is an open neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}$. □

The next theorem relates the resolvents estimates for T and $\eta(T)$.

Theorem 3. *Let Γ, η and U be as in Lemma 2 and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator satisfying the resolvent estimate* (1). *Let the operator $\eta(T)$ be defined by the $C^{1+\alpha}$-functional calculus for T. Then $\sigma(\eta(T)) \subset \mathbb{T}$, and for some $C \ge 1$ and depending on Γ, η, T but not on λ or x,*

$$C^{-1}\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}x\| \le \|(\eta(T) - \eta(\lambda))^{-1}x\| \le C\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}x\|, \qquad \lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma,\ x \in H.$$

Proof. The fact that $\sigma(\eta(T)) \subset \mathbb{T}$ follows from the spectral mapping theorem for the Dynkin functional calculus.

For $\lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma$, define functions $\varphi_\lambda, \psi_\lambda \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ by

$$\varphi_\lambda(z) = \frac{\eta(z) - \eta(\lambda)}{z - \lambda}, \qquad \psi_\lambda(z) = \frac{z - \lambda}{\eta(z) - \eta(\lambda)}. \tag{3}$$

The operators $\varphi_\lambda(T)$ and $\psi_\lambda(T)$ are thus defined. In fact,

$$\varphi_\lambda(T) = (\eta(T) - \eta(\lambda))(T - \lambda)^{-1}, \qquad \psi_\lambda(T) = (T - \lambda)(\eta(T) - \eta(\lambda))^{-1}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\|\varphi_\lambda(T)\| \le C_0, \qquad \|\psi_\lambda(T)\| \le C_0,$$

for C_0 independent of λ.

In fact, the functions φ_λ and ψ_λ are in $U \setminus \{\lambda\}$ and since η is bi-Lipschitz,

$$|\varphi_\lambda(z)| \le C_1, \qquad |\psi_\lambda(z)| \le C_1, \qquad z \in U \setminus \{\lambda\}.$$

Let D be a domain with smooth boundary such that $\Gamma \subset D \subset \overline{D} \subset U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, to be chosen later.

By the Dynkin functional calculus, for $\lambda \in D$ and that ε is chosen small enough so that $\overline{B(\lambda, \varepsilon)} \subset D$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\lambda(T) =& \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \varphi_\lambda(z)(z - T)^{-1}\, dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B(\lambda,\varepsilon)} \varphi_\lambda(z)(z - T)^{-1}\, dz \\ &- \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{D \setminus B(\lambda,\varepsilon)} \frac{\partial \varphi_\lambda}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)(z - T)^{-1}\, dA(z).\end{aligned}$$

The case $\lambda \notin D$ is similar.

The norm $\|\varphi_\lambda(T)\|$ is bounded by estimating the three terms separately. For the second term, if $\varepsilon < \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma)$, then

$$\int_{\partial B(\lambda,\varepsilon)} |\varphi_\lambda(z)| \|(z - T)^{-1}\|\, |dz| \le C_2 \varepsilon (\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Gamma) - \varepsilon)^{-1}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we see that we can completely ignore this term.

The norm of the first term is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} |\varphi_\lambda(z)| \|(z - T)^{-1}\|\, |dz| \le C_3 \operatorname{dist}(\partial D, \Gamma)^{-1} \operatorname{length}(\partial D).$$

Finally, by using Lemma 2, the norm of the third term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{D \setminus B(\lambda,\varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\lambda}{\partial \overline{z}}(z) \right| \|(z - T)^{-1}\|\, dA(z) &\le \iint_D \frac{1}{|z - \lambda|} \left| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \overline{z}}(z) \right| \|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\|\, dA(z) \\ &\le C_4 \iint_D |z - \lambda|^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(z, \Gamma)^{\alpha - 1}\, dA(z) \\ &\le C_5 \iint_D |\eta(z) - \eta(\lambda)|^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(\eta(z), \mathbb{T})^{\alpha - 1}\, dA(z) \\ &\le C_6 \iint_{\eta(D)} |\zeta - \eta(\lambda)|^{-1} \operatorname{dist}(\zeta, \mathbb{T})^{\alpha - 1}\, dA(\zeta) \\ &\le C_6 \int_{a \le |\zeta| \le b} |\zeta - \eta(\lambda)|^{-1} |1 - |\zeta||^{\alpha - 1}\, dA(\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

We have performed the change of variables $\zeta = \eta(z)$ and chosen $a < b$ such that the set $\eta(D)$ is contained in the annulus $a \le |\zeta| \le b$. By Lemma 4 below, the last term in this chain of inequalities is smaller than a constant which is independent of λ. We conclude that $\|\varphi_\lambda(T)\| \le C_0$, with C_0 independent of λ.

The proof that $\|\psi_\lambda(T)\| \le C_0$ is very similar, in this case using that

$$\left|\frac{\partial \psi_\lambda}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)\right| = \frac{|z-\lambda|}{|\eta(z)-\eta(\lambda)|^2}\left|\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)\right| \le C_7 |\eta(z)-\eta(\lambda)|^{-1}\left|\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \overline{z}}(z)\right|.$$

The remaining bounds are obtained in the same way. The proof is finished (modulo the next lemma). □

Lemma 4. *Let $0 < a < 1 < b$ and $a \le |w| \le b$ and $-1 < \beta < 0$. Then for some C independent of w,*

$$\iint_{a\le|z|\le b} |z-w|^{-1}|1-|z||^\beta \, dA(z) \le C.$$

Proof. Performing a rotation, we may assume that w is real and positive, so that $a \le w \le b$. By passing to polar coordinates and using the inequality

$$|re^{i\theta} - w|^{-1} \le C_0 |r + i\theta - w|^{-1},$$

which is valid for $a \le r \le b$, the integral in the statement of the lemma is less than a constant times

$$\iint_{[a,b]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta - w|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta).$$

Now assume that $a \le w \le 1$ (the case $1 \le w \le b$ will be similar). We estimate the integral by dividing the region of integration into two pieces. Put $t = (w+1)/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{[a,t]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta-w|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta) + \iint_{[t,b]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta-w|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta)\\
\le &\iint_{[a,t]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta-w|^{-1}|w-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta) + \iint_{[t,b]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta-1|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta)\\
\le &\iint_{[a-1+w,t-1+w]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta'-1|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta'|^\beta \, dA(\zeta') + \iint_{[t,b]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta-1|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta)\\
\le &2\iint_{[2a-1,b]\times[-\pi,\pi]} |\zeta-1|^{-1}|1-\operatorname{Re}\zeta|^\beta \, dA(\zeta),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have performed the change of variables $\zeta' = \zeta - 1 + w$ and used that $2a-1 \le a-1+w$ and $t-1+w \le b$. By a change to polar coordinates $\zeta = 1 + re^{i\theta}$ the single pole at 1 is seen to be of order strictly between -2 and 0, and so the last integral is finite. □

4. The proof of Theorem 1

We first recall the result from [15] to be used in the proof of the theorem. The statement given here is for a $C^{1+\alpha}$ domain, although the original was proved under weaker regularity conditions (see [15, Theorem 2]).

Theorem A. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and Ω a Jordan domain of class $C^{1+\alpha}$. Assume there is some $R > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \partial\Omega$ there is some point $\mu_k(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\mu_k(\lambda), \partial\Omega) = |\mu_k(\lambda) - \lambda| = R$ and $\|(T - \mu_k(\lambda))^{-1}\| \le R^{-1}$. Then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for some $K > 0$.*

In other words, the conclusion is that there exists a constant $K \ge 1$ such that

$$\|f(T)\| \le K\|f\|_{H^\infty(\Omega)},$$

for every (matrix-valued) rational function f with poles off of $\overline{\Omega}$ (and hence for every f which is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ and analytic in Ω).

A nice overview of complete K-spectral sets can be found in [36, Chapter 9]. A result of this property for an operator T is that T dilates to an operator similar to a normal operator with spectrum in the boundary of the domain. The additional assumptions in Theorem 1 will allow us to conclude that the operator T itself is similar to a normal operator. Curiously, this will

only require knowing the weaker property that T has $\overline{\Omega}$ as a K-spectral set; in other words, that $\|f(T)\| \leq K\|f\|_{H^\infty(\Omega)}$ only for scalar valued rational functions with poles off of $\overline{\Omega}$.

Lemma 5. *In the hypotheses of Theorem 3, if $\eta(T)$ is similar to a unitary operator, then T is is similar to a normal operator.*

Proof. Replacing T by STS^{-1}, where S is such that $S\eta(T)S^{-1} = \eta(STS^{-1})$ is unitary, we may assume that $\eta(T)$ is unitary. Note that $(\eta|\Gamma)^{-1} \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{T})$. Choose a sequence of rational functions $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with poles off $\mathbb{T}$ such that r_n tend to $(\eta|\Gamma)^{-1}$ in $C^{1+\alpha/2}(\mathbb{T})$. Then $r_n \circ \eta$ tend to the identity function in $C^{1+\alpha/2}(\Gamma)$. By continuity of the $C^{1+\alpha/2}(\Gamma)$-calculus for T, $(r_n \circ \eta)(T)$ tends to T in operator norm. The Dynkin functional calculus for T is a homomorphism, and so $(r_n \circ \eta)(T) = r_n(\eta(T))$. Since each $r_n(\eta(T))$ is normal, it follows that T is also normal. □

As an alternative, more direct proof of this last lemma, it seems tempting to argue that if $A = \eta(T)$ is similar to unitary, then $\eta^{-1}(A)$ is defined, for instance, by the usual L^∞-calculus for normal operators, and $\eta^{-1}(A)$ is similar to a normal operator. However, it is not clear *a priori* why $\eta^{-1}(A) = T$.

Theorem 1 is a straightforward consequence of Theorem A and the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan curve, and Ω the domain it bounds. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be an operator with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Assume that $\overline{\Omega}$ is a K-spectral set for T and*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Then T is similar to a normal operator.

Proof. Let $\eta : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be the Riemann map. Since $\partial\Omega$ is of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, then $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{1+\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ (see, for instance, [38, Theorem 3.6]). Extend η pseudoanalytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$. Now as η satisfies its assumptions, we can apply Lemma 2.

Because $|\eta^n| \leq 1$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $\overline{\Omega}$ is K-spectral for T, the operator $\eta(T)$ is power bounded. By Theorem 3, and the fact that $\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \partial\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\eta(\lambda), \mathbb{T})$ are comparable,

$$\|(\eta(T)-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{1-|\lambda|}, \qquad |\lambda| < 1.$$

Applying Theorem VC1 it follows that $\eta(T)$ is similar to a unitary, and so by Lemma 5, T is similar to a normal operator. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem A implies that $\overline{\Omega}$ is a complete K-spectral set for T. It suffices to apply Lemma 6. □

Remark. It is easy to deduce now an analogous result where the estimate with constant 1 is assumed inside the domain Ω and the estimate with a constant C is assumed outside the domain. Indeed, put $R = (T - z_0)^{-1}$, for some fixed $z_0 \in \Omega$. Then it follows from [15, Lemma 7] that if $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}$, then $\|(R-\mu)^{-1}\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(\mu,\widetilde{\Gamma})^{-1}$, where $\mu = (\lambda - z_0)^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the image of Γ under the map $z \mapsto (z-z_0)^{-1}$. Writing the resolvent of R in terms of the resolvent of T, it is also easy to obtain an estimate for R with a constant $C' > 1$ outside the domain bounded by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Since the map $z \mapsto (z-z_0)^{-1}$ sends the inside of Γ onto the outside of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and vice versa, it suffices to apply Theorem 1 to R.

5. Mean-squares type resolvent estimates

In this section we give criteria for similarity to a normal operator analogous to the results by van Casteren [10] and Naboko [30] in the context of $C^{1+\alpha}$ Jordan curves. First of all, we need a substitute for the curves $r\mathbb{T}$. To this end, we give the following definition.

Definition. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve and Ω the region it bounds. We say that a family of curves $\{\gamma_s\}_{0<s<1}$ *tends nicely* to Γ from the outside if $\gamma_s \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ for all $0 < s \leq 1$ and the following conditions are satisfied for some constant $C \geq 1$:

(a) For all $0 < s \leq 1$, $C^{-1}s \leq \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) \leq Cs$, for all $x \in \gamma_s$.
(b) For every $0 < s \leq 1$, $x \in \gamma_s$, and $r > 0$, $\operatorname{length}(\gamma_s \cap B(x, r)) \leq Cr$.

We say that the family $\{\gamma_s\}_{0<s\leq 1}$ tends to Γ from the inside if instead $\gamma_s \subset \Omega$ for all $0 < s \leq 1$ and again, conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied.

When Γ is in the class $C^{1+\alpha}$, it is clear that there exist a family of curves which tends nicely to Γ from the outside and another family of curves which tends nicely to Γ from the inside.

Condition (b) states that the curves γ_s satisfy the Ahlfors-David condition with a uniform constant. This condition was first studied in the papers [1, 12].

We wish to prove that the mean-squares type resolvent estimates that we consider do not depend on the concrete choice of the family of curves $\{\gamma_s\}$ tending nicely to Γ. This will follow from a lemma concerning Smirnov spaces.

Recall that the Smirnov space $E^2(\Omega, H)$ of H-valued function on a (nice) domain Ω is defined as the $L^2(\partial\Omega)$-closure of the H-valued rational functions with poles off $\overline{\Omega}$. The following lemma dates back to David and his theorem on the boundedness of certain singular integral operators on Ahlfors regular curves. In particular, it follows from the results in [12, Proposition 6].

Lemma 7. *Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be Jordan domains with Ahlfors regular boundaries such that $\overline{\Omega}_2 \subset \Omega_1$. If H is a Hilbert space and $f \in E^2(\Omega_1, H)$, then $f|\Omega_2 \in E^2(\Omega_2, H)$ and*

$$\|f|\Omega_2\|_{E^2(\Omega_2,H)} \leq C\|f\|_{E^2(\Omega_1,H)},$$

for some constant C depending only on the Ahlfors constants for $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$.

Lemma 8. *Let Γ be a Jordan curve, $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, and $\{\gamma_s\}_{0<s\leq 1}$, $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_s\}_{0<s\leq 1}$ two families of curves which both tend nicely to Γ from the inside (resp., from the outside). If*

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad x \in H, 0 < s \leq 1,$$

for some constant C independent of x and s, then

$$\int_{\widetilde{\gamma}_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C'\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad x \in H, 0 < s \leq 1,$$

for some constant C' independent of x and s.

Proof. First assume that $\{\gamma_s\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_s\}$ tend nicely to Γ from the inside. We denote by Ω_s the domain bounded by γ_s and by $\widetilde{\Omega}_s$ the domain bounded by $\widetilde{\gamma}_s$.

By definition, since $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_s\}$ tends nicely to Γ, there exists $0 < s_0 \leq 1$ such that for all $s \in (0, s_0)$, the closure of $\widetilde{\Omega}_s$ is contained in Ω_1. Then by continuity of $1/s$ on $[s_0, 1]$, there exists a constant $\tilde{C}$ such that the claim holds whenever $s \in [s_0, 1]$.

So assume that $s \in (0, s_0)$. Applying Lemma 7 to the function $f(z) = (T - z)^{-1}x$ and the domains $\Omega_1 = \Omega_s$, $\Omega_2 = \widetilde{\Omega}_t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\widetilde{\gamma}_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| &= \|f|\widetilde{\Omega}\|_{E^2(\widetilde{\Omega}_s,H)} \leq K\|f\|_{E^2(\Omega_1,H)} \\ &= K\int_{\gamma_1} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \\ &\leq KC\|x\|^2 \leq \frac{KC\|x\|^2}{s_0} \leq \frac{KC\|x\|^2}{s}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\{\gamma_s\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_s\}$ tend nicely to Γ from the outside, we choose a point z_0 inside the domain bounded by Γ and apply an inversion: $z \mapsto (z - z_0)^{-1}$. Since

$$((T - z_0)^{-1} - (\lambda - z_0)^{-1})^{-1} = (\lambda - z_0)(T - z_0)(T - \lambda)^{-1},$$

the bounds for the resolvent of T imply equivalent bounds for the resolvent of $(T - z_0)^{-1}$ and conversely. Thus, this case follows from the previous one. x □

It is well known that, in the context of the unit circle, a resolvent bound of mean-square type implies a pointwise resolvent bound such as (1). The proof of this fact uses the usual pointwise estimate for an H^2 function in the disk, which involves the norm of the reproducing kernel. The following lemma is a generalization of this.

Lemma 9. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, Ω the region it bounds and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. If*

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad x \in H,\ 0 < s \leq 1, \tag{4}$$

for some constant C independent of x and s and some family of curves $\{\gamma_s\}$ which tends nicely to Γ from the inside (resp., outside), then

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C'}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega \ (\text{or } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\Omega}),$$

for some constant C' independent of λ.

Proof. Assume that $\{\gamma_s\}$ tends nicely to Γ from the inside. Let η be a function as in the statement of Lemma 2, and U the neighborhood of Γ that appears in that lemma. We fix $\lambda \in \Omega \cap U$. Then because η is bi-Lipschitz, $t = \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)$ is comparable to $\operatorname{dist}(\eta(\lambda),\mathbb{T})$. Put $r = 1 - \operatorname{dist}(\eta(\lambda),\mathbb{T})/2$.

Now consider the Jordan curve $\Lambda = \eta^{-1}(r\mathbb{T})$. This is a Jordan curve inside Ω and $\operatorname{dist}(z,\Gamma)$ is comparable to t for every $z \in \Lambda$. Therefore, it is possible to choose $0 < s \leq 1$ such that $t \leq C_1 s$, and Λ is inside the region bounded by γ_s.

Fix $x \in H$ and put $f(z) = (\eta(T)-z)^{-1}x$, and $g(z) = f(z/r)$. By the usual pointwise estimate for a function in H^2, the Hardy space of the disk,

$$\|g(z)\| \leq (1-|z|^2)^{-1/2}\|g\|_{E^2(\mathbb{D},H)} = (1-|z|^2)^{-1/2}\|f\|_{E^2(r\mathbb{D},H)} \leq (1-|z|^2)^{-1/2}\|f\|_{E^2(W,H)},$$

where W is the domain bounded by $\eta(\gamma_s)$ and the last inequality comes from Lemma 7. Now by Theorem 3 and (4),

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|^2_{E^2(W,H)} &= \int_{\eta(\gamma_s)} \|(\eta(T)-z)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |dz| \leq C_1 \int_{\gamma_s} \|(\eta(T)-\eta(w))^{-1}x\|^2 \, |dw| \\ &\leq C_2 \int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-w)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |dw| \leq \frac{C_3\|x\|^2}{s}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(\eta(T)-z/r)^{-1}x\|^2 \leq C_3(1-|z|^2)^{-1}s^{-1}\|x\|^2,$$

and the inequality above is valid for all $x \in H$. Putting $z = r\eta(\lambda)$ yields

$$\|(\eta(T)-\eta(\lambda))^{-1}\|^2 \leq C_3(1-|r\eta(\lambda)|^2)^{-1}s^{-1} \leq C_4 t^{-2}.$$

By another application of Theorem 3,

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C'}{t} = \frac{C'}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}.$$

The case when $\{\gamma_s\}$ tends nicely to Γ from the outside is proved by applying the inversion $z \mapsto (z-z_0)^{-1}$, as in the proof of Lemma 8. □

We now state and prove generalizations of Theorems VC2 and N in Theorems 10 and Theorem 12. The proofs both follow the same line of reasoning, using the tools we have developed to pass to $\mathbb{T}$ and then applying van Caster en's or Nabokov's theorem. It is worth noting that, as with the original theorems, there is no easy way to deduce either result from the other.

Theorem 10 (van Casteren-type theorem for curves)**.** *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, Ω the region it bounds and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Let $\{\gamma_s\}_{0<s\leq 1}$ be a family of curves which tends nicely to Γ from the outside. Then T is similar to a normal operator if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied.*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Omega,$$

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad x \in H,\ 0 < s \leq 1, \tag{5}$$

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T^*-\overline{\lambda})^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad x \in H,\ 0 < s \leq 1. \tag{6}$$

Proof. First, assume that T satisfies the three resolvent conditions. Let η be a function as in the statement of Lemma 2, and U as in that lemma. We can assume that $\gamma_s \subset U$ for every $0 < s \leq 1$. By Lemma 9, the operator T satisfies the resolvent estimate (1), so $\eta(T)$ is defined by the $C^{1+\alpha}(\Gamma)$ calculus for T. By Theorem 3 and the fact that $\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\eta(\lambda),\mathbb{T})$ are comparable,

$$\|(\eta(T)-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C_1}{1-|\lambda|}, \qquad |\lambda| < 1,$$

as well as

$$\int_{\eta(\gamma_s)} \|(\eta(T)-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_2\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad x \in H,\ 0 < s \leq 1,$$

which follows by making a change of variables $\lambda = \eta(\mu)$ and applying Theorem 3.

Since $\eta : U \to \eta(U)$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism and bi-Lipschitz, the family of curves $\{\eta(\gamma_s)\}_{0<s\leq 1}$ tends nicely to $\mathbb{T}$ from the outside. Therefore, by Lemma 8 applied to the family $\widetilde{\gamma_s} = (1+s)\mathbb{T}$,

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(\eta(T)-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_3\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad x \in H,\ 1 < r < 2.$$

Similar reasoning with T^* in place of T and $\widetilde{\eta}(z) = \overline{\eta(\overline{\zeta})}$ in place of η shows that

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(\eta(T)^*-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_4\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad x \in H,\ 1 < r < 2,$$

as $\widetilde{\eta}(T^*) = \eta(T)^*$.

Now apply Theorem VC2 to deduce that $\eta(T)$ is similar to a unitary. Then by Lemma 5, T is similar to a normal operator.

Conversely, assume that T is similar to a normal operator and $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Replacing T by STS^{-1} we may assume that T is normal. The first condition on the resolvent of T holds, since $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)^{-1}$ for a normal operator T.

The operator $\eta(T)$ is unitary, so by expressing the resolvent as a power series and using the fact that $\|\eta(T)^n\| = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{r\mathbb{T}} \|(\eta(T)-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_5\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad 1 < r < 2,\ x \in H.$$

By Theorem 3 (although since T is normal a simpler argument could be devised), we have for some constant $C > 0$ and some neighborhood U of Γ,

$$C^{-1}\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\| \leq \|(\eta(T)-\eta(\lambda))^{-1}x\| \leq C\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|, \qquad \lambda \in U \setminus \Gamma,\ x \in H,$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\eta^{-1}(r\mathbb{T})} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_6\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad 1 < r < 2,\ x \in H.$$

The family of curves $\widetilde{\gamma}_s = \eta^{-1}((1+s)\mathbb{T})$ tends nicely to Γ. Apply Lemma 8 to get that T satisfies (5). Use of similar reasoning but with T^* instead of T and $\widetilde{\eta}$ instead of η yields the inequality (6), we use a similar reasoning with T^* instead of T and $\widetilde{\eta}$ instead of η. □

Sz.-Nagy proved in [42] that an operator T is similar to a unitary if and only if $\|T^n\| \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to use this result to show that if $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$, the resolvent estimate (1) holds and $\|\eta^n(T)\| \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then T is similar to a normal operator.

The following corollary is a generalization of Theorem VC1. Note that here we only assume that $\|\eta(T)^n\| \leq C$ for all $n \geq 0$. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 10, but Theorem VC1 is used instead of Theorem VC2.

Corollary 11. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Assume that*

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda,\Gamma)}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma.$$

Let $\eta : \Gamma \to \mathbb{T}$ be a function as in the statement of Lemma 2. Define the operator $\eta(T)$ by the $C^{1+\alpha}$-calculus. If $\eta(T)$ is power bounded, then T is similar to a normal operator.

Theorem 12 (Naboko-type theorem for curves)**.** *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, Ω the region it bounds and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\sigma(T) \subset \Gamma$. Let $\{\gamma_s\}_{0<s\leq 1}$ be a family of curves which tends nicely to Γ from the outside and $\{\widetilde{\gamma}_s\}$ a family of curves which tends nicely to Γ from the inside. Then T is similar to a normal operator if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied for all $x \in H$ and $0 < s \leq 1$:*

$$\int_{\gamma_s} \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{s}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\widetilde{\gamma}_s} \|(T^*-\overline{\lambda})^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C\|x\|^2}{s}.$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is like the proof of Theorem 10. If T satisfies the two conditions in the statement of this theorem, instead of using van Casteren's theorem, use Naboko's Theorem N to show that $\eta(T)$ is similar to a unitary. We only sketch the proof.

First, Lemma 9 implies that T satisfies the resolvent estimate (1). Choose a function η as in Lemma 2. The operator $\eta(T)$ is well defined. By Theorem 3 and Lemma 8,

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(\eta(T)-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_1\|x\|^2}{r-1}, \qquad x \in H,\ 1 < r < 2$$

and

$$\int_{|\lambda|=r} \|(\eta(T)^*-\lambda)^{-1}x\|^2 \, |d\lambda| \leq \frac{C_2\|x\|^2}{1-r}, \qquad x \in H,\ 0 < r < 1.$$

Theorem N, then gives that $\eta(T)$ is similar to a unitary. It follows that T is similar to a normal operator by Lemma 5.

The converse direction is proved as in Theorem 10. □

6. Some comments and examples

So far we have only discussed operators with spectrum on some smooth curve in $\mathbb{C}$. Similar results can be presented if the spectrum is allowed to be a union of a smooth curve and a sequence of points tending to this curve. In [3], Benamara and Nikolski show that a contraction T with finite defects is similar to a normal operator if and only if $\sigma(T) \neq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$. For such a contraction, $\sigma(T) \setminus \mathbb{T}$ is always a Blaschke sequence in $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, Benamara and Nikolski prove that the resolvent estimate forces $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D}$ to be quite sparse (more precisely, it has to satisfy the Δ-Carleson condition). Later in [24], Kupin studied contractions with infinite defects. He proved that if the spectrum of a contraction T is not all $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and if it satisfies both $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ and the so-called Uniform Trace Boundedness condition, then it is similar to a normal operator. An analogue of Uniform Trace Boundedness condition for dissipative operators was given by Kupin and Vasyunin in [47], and then applied to integral operators. In [25], Kupin also

uses the Uniform Trace Boundedness condition so as to give conditions for an operator similar to a contraction to be similar to a normal operator.

On the other hand, Kupin and Treil showed in [26] that if T is a contraction with $\sigma(T) \neq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))^{-1}$ but one only assumes that $I - T^*T$ is trace class (instead of finite rank), then T need not be similar to a normal operator, thus solving a conjecture in [3].

All these results concern operators with *thin* spectrum (in other words, those for which the area of the spectrum is zero). For operators having *thick* spectrum (so non-zero area), in general there is no hope of obtaining criteria for similarity to a normal operator solely in terms of resolvent operator estimates. Indeed, for any hyponormal operator T, the best possible estimate $\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| = \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))^{-1}$ holds, and for any compact set F of positive area there exists a hyponormal operator T not similar to a normal one with $\sigma(T) = F$.

Resolvent conditions for similarity to other classes of operators, such as selfadjoint operators or isometries, have also been considered in the literature. Faddeev gives conditions in [19] for similarity to an isometry in the case when $\dim \ker(T^* - \lambda I) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.

In [20], Faddeev and Shterenberg use a version of Theorem N for selfadjoint operators to study differential operators of the form $A = -\frac{\operatorname{sign} x}{|x|^\alpha p(x)} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, where p is a positive function which is bounded above and below, while Naboko and Tretter also use Theorem N in [32], where they give conditions for a Volterra perturbation of the operator of multiplication by x on $L^2[0,1]$ to be similar to a selfadjoint operator. More specifically, the operators they consider have the form

$$(Af)(x) = xf(x) + \int_0^x \phi(x)\psi(s)f(s)\,ds,$$

where $\phi\psi = 0$. By using our criteria for curves, most likely these results can be extended to analogous operators on L^2 of a curve, though it might be rather technical. In [27], Malamud gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a triangular operator A on $L^2([0,1], d\mu)$ of the form

$$(Af)(x) = \alpha(x)f(x) + i\int_x^1 K(x,t)f(t)\,d\mu(t)$$

to be similar to a selfadjoint operator. His conditions involve resolvent estimates of the form $\|V^{1/2}(A-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|^{-1/2}$, where $V = |\operatorname{Im} A|$.

Resolvent growth conditions for similarity to a unitary operator have also been used in the study of Toeplitz operators with unimodular symbol, see [11, 21, 37] and references therein.

The article [18] contains a discussion of the relationship between the growth of powers of an operator T with $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, first order growth of its resolvent outside $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and the size of the set $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{T}$.

The conditions for a contraction T to be similar to a unitary in terms of the characteristic function of T are well known. Given a contraction $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, one defines its defect operators $D_T = (I - T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $D_{T^*} = (I - TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and its defect spaces $\mathfrak{D}_T = \overline{D_T H}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{T^*} = \overline{D_T^* H}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, the *characteristic function* $\Theta_T(\lambda) : \mathfrak{D}_T \to \mathfrak{D}_{T^*}$ is defined by

$$\Theta_T(\lambda) = [-T + \lambda D_{T^*}(I - \lambda T^*)^{-1} D_T]|\mathfrak{D}_T.$$

As Sz.-Nagy and Foias proved in [43] (see also Sz.-Nagy and Foias [44, Chapitre IX]), T is similar to a unitary if and only if $\Theta_T(\lambda)$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{D}} \|\Theta_T(\lambda)^{-1}\| < \infty. \tag{7}$$

Example 1. We can choose any purely contractive function Θ satisfying this condition and use the Sz.-Nagy Foias model to construct a completely non unitary contraction T such that $\Theta_T = \Theta$. Such a contraction is non-unitary and similar to a unitary, and so $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Hence

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{1-|\lambda|}, \qquad |\lambda| < 1.$$

Since T is also a contraction, by von Neumann's inequality

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{|\lambda|-1}, \qquad |\lambda|>1.$$

Recall that, by Stampfli's theorem stated in the introduction, if under these conditions T satisfies

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{||\lambda|-1|}, \qquad |\lambda|\neq 1,$$

then T must be normal. Thus this yields an example of an operator which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and is non-normal.

We also find examples of this type among the class of ρ-contractions. If $\rho>0$, an operator $T\in\mathcal{B}(H)$ is called a *ρ-contraction* if there is a larger Hilbert space $K\supset H$ and a unitary $U\in\mathcal{B}(K)$ such that

$$T^n=\rho P_H U^n|H, \qquad n=1,2,\dots,$$

where P_H denotes the orthogonal projection onto H. The classes $\mathcal{C}_\rho$ of ρ-contractions are increasing with ρ, and the class $\mathcal{C}_1$ coincides with the class of contractions.

If T is a ρ-contraction with $\rho\ge 2$, then

$$\|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{|\lambda|-1}, \qquad 1<|\lambda|<\frac{\rho-1}{\rho-2}.$$

(Here $\frac{\rho-1}{\rho-2}=+\infty$ if $\rho=2$.) Therefore, any ρ-contraction which is similar to a unitary also satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. If T is a 2-contraction, then one may take the set $U=\mathbb{C}$ in the hypotheses of Theorem 1. However, for a ρ-contraction with $\rho>2$, in general U will be a smaller set.

It is natural to ask if there is an example of a ρ-contraction which is not a contraction and which is similar to a unitary. What follows is such an example with $\rho=2$.

Example 2. Assume that $\alpha,\beta>0$, $\max(\alpha,\beta)>1$, and $\alpha^2+\beta^2<2$. Let T be the bilateral weighted shift T on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with weights $\dots,1,1,\boxed{\alpha},\beta,1,1,\dots$ defined by

$$T(\dots,x_{-1},\boxed{x_0},x_1,x_2,\dots)=(\dots,x_{-2},\boxed{x_{-1}},\alpha x_0,\beta x_1,x_2,\dots).$$

(Here $\boxed{\ }$ marks the 0-th component). Then T is a 2-contraction which is not a contraction, yet is similar to a unitary.

Obviously, $\|T\|=\max(\alpha,\beta,1)>1$. Since $\alpha,\beta>0$, the operator T is similar to the unitary bilateral shift U on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ with all weights equal to 1. It remains to show that T is a 2-contraction.

Recall that T is a 2-contraction if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}(\theta T)\le I, \qquad |\theta|=1.$$

Since θT is unitarily equivalent to T when $|\theta|=1$, it is enough to check this inequality for $\theta=1$.

Put $A=2\operatorname{Re}T$, and check that $\sigma(A)\cap(2,+\infty)=\emptyset$. Since A is a finite rank perturbation of $U+U^*$ and $\sigma(U+U^*)=[-2,2]$, it suffices to show that A has no eigenvalues in $(2,+\infty)$. Assume that $x=(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a non-zero vector in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ that satisfies $(A-\lambda)x=0$ for some $\lambda>2$. This means that

$$x_n-\lambda x_{n+1}+x_{n+2}=0, \quad |n|\ge 2, \tag{8}$$

$$x_{-1}-\lambda x_0+\alpha x_1=0, \tag{9}$$

$$\alpha x_0-\lambda x_1+\beta x_2=0, \tag{10}$$

$$\beta x_1-\lambda x_2+\alpha x_3=0. \tag{11}$$

Put

$$u_\pm=u_\pm(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda\pm\sqrt{\lambda^2-4}}{2}.$$

Then (8) and $x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ imply that for some non-zero a, b,

$$x_n = au_-^n, \qquad n \geq 2,$$
$$x_n = bu_+^n, \qquad n \leq 0.$$

The quotients $y_n = \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}$ satisfy

$$y_n = u_-, \qquad n \geq 2,$$
$$y_n = u_+, \qquad n \leq -1.$$

If

$$Fx_n - \lambda x_{n+1} + Gx_{n+2} = 0,$$

then y_n is obtained from y_{n+1} by applying the Möbius transformation $z \mapsto \frac{F}{-Gz+\lambda}$, which can be encoded by the 2×2 matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & F \\ -G & \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The composition of Möbius transformations reduces to multiplying the corresponding 2×2 matrices, so equations (9)–(11) yield

$$u_+(\lambda) = y_{-1} = \frac{M_{11}(\lambda)y_2 + M_{12}(\lambda)}{M_{21}(\lambda)y_2 + M_{22}(\lambda)} = \frac{M_{11}(\lambda)u_-(\lambda) + M_{12}(\lambda)}{M_{21}(\lambda)u_-(\lambda) + M_{22}(\lambda)},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{11}(\lambda) & M_{12}(\lambda) \\ M_{21}(\lambda) & M_{22}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\alpha & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ -\beta & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting

$$f(\lambda) = u_+(\lambda)\big(M_{21}(\lambda)u_-(\lambda) + M_{22}(\lambda)\big) - \big(M_{11}(\lambda)u_-(\lambda) + M_{12}(\lambda)\big) =$$
$$= \lambda^3 u_+(\lambda) + \lambda^2[u_+(\lambda)u_-(\lambda) - 1] + \lambda[u_-(\lambda) - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)u_+(\lambda)] + \beta^2[1 - u_+(\lambda)u_-(\lambda)],$$

we see that $f(\lambda) = 0$. The same conclusion holds if $y_n = \infty$ for some n. However, a straightforward computation shows that for $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 < 2$, $f(2) > 0$ and $f'(t) > 0$ for $t > 2$. Therefore, $f(\lambda) > 0$, which is a contradiction.

In the above argument, we computed the numerical radius of the weighted shift T by examining the spectrum of its real part. There are several works in the literature devoted to the study of the numerical radius of weighted shifts, and some of which use similar techniques. See [46] and references therein.

In [2], Andô and Takahashi proved that if an operator T is polynomially bounded and there exist an injective operator X and a unitary operator W whose spectral measure is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$, and such that $XT = WX$, then T is similar to a unitary. Moreover, if such T is also a ρ-contraction for some $\rho > 0$, then T is itself unitary. This does not apply in Example 2, since the operator T is similar to the bilateral shift in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, whose spectral measure is not singular. We refer to Gamal' [22] and the references therein for extensions of these results.

Example 3. One can easily construct non-normal operators which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1 for a Jordan domain $\Omega \neq \mathbb{D}$. Let A be a non-unitary contraction which is similar to a unitary. Take a Riemann mapping $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$ and put $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$. The operator $T = \varphi(A)$ is well defined and non-normal. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, then by von Neumann's inequality

$$\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \|(\varphi - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} = \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Omega)^{-1}.$$

If $\lambda \in \Omega$, the inequality

$$\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \Omega)^{-1}$$

follows from the fact that T is similar to a normal operator. The operator T satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.

It is not obvious how to in a similar manner use a Riemann mapping with Example 2 to get the result for a general Jordan domain Ω.

Finally, L.A. Saknovich obtained in [39] an analogue of the Nagy-Foias criterion (7) for similarity to a unitary operator, however, Saknovich's condition is only sufficient, and not

necessary in general. A functional model for perturbations of normal operators with spectrum on a curve, extending Naboko's model [31], has been developed by Tikhonov in [45] and subsequent papers.

References

[1] L. Ahlfors, *Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta Math. **65** (1935), no. 1, 157–194.

[2] T. Ando and K. Takahashi, *On operators with unitary ρ-dilations*, Ann. Polon. Math. **66** (1997), 11–14. Volume dedicated to the memory of Włodzimierz Mlak.

[3] N.-E. Benamara and N. Nikolski, *Resolvent tests for similarity to a normal operator*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **78** (1999), no. 3, 585–626.

[4] S. K. Berberian, *Some conditions on an operator implying normality*, Math. Ann. **184** (1969/1970), 188–192.

[5] ———, *Some conditions on an operator implying normality. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 277–281.

[6] ———, *Some conditions on an operator implying normality. III*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 630–632.

[7] S. L. Campbell and R. Gellar, *Spectral properties of linear operators for which T^*T and $T + T^*$ commute*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1976), 197–202 (1977).

[8] ———, *Linear operators for which T^*T and $T + T^*$ commute. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **226** (1977), 305–319.

[9] J. A. van Casteren, *A problem of Sz.-Nagy*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **42** (1980), no. 1-2, 189–194.

[10] ———, *Operators similar to unitary or selfadjoint ones*, Pacific J. Math. **104** (1983), no. 1, 241–255.

[11] D. N. Clark, *On Toeplitz operators with unimodular symbols*, Operators in indefinite metric spaces, scattering theory and other topics (Bucharest, 1985), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 24, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1987, pp. 59–68.

[12] G. David, *Opérateurs intégraux singuliers sur certaines courbes du plan complexe*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **17** (1984), no. 1, 157–189.

[13] D. S. Djordjević, *Characterizations of normal, hyponormal and EP operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007), no. 2, 1181–1190.

[14] W. F. Donoghue Jr., *On a problem of Nieminen*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **16** (1963), 31–33.

[15] M. Dritschel, D. Estévez, and D. Yakubovich, *Tests for complete K-spectral sets*.

[16] E. M. Dyn′kin, *An operator calculus based on the Cauchy-Green formula*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **30** (1972), 33–39 (Russian). Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, III.

[17] ———, *Pseudoanalytic continuation of smooth functions. Uniform scale*, Mathematical programming and related questions (Proc. Seventh Winter School, Drogobych, 1974), Central Èkonom.-Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1976, pp. 40–73.

[18] O. El-Fallah and T. Ransford, *Extremal growth of powers of operators satisfying resolvent conditions of Kreiss-Ritt type*, J. Funct. Anal. **196** (2002), no. 1, 135–154.

[19] M. M. Faddeev, *Similarity of an operator to an isometric operator*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **23** (1989), no. 2, 77–78; English transl., Funct. Anal. Appl. **23** (1989), no. 2, 149–151.

[20] M. M. Faddeev and R. G. Shterenberg, *On the similarity of some differential operators to selfadjoint operators*, Mat. Zametki **72** (2002), no. 2, 292–302; English transl., Math. Notes **72** (2002), no. 1-2, 261–270.

[21] M. F. Gamal', *On Toeplitz operators similar to isometries*, J. Operator Theory **59** (2008), no. 1, 3–28.

[22] ———, *On power bounded operators that are quasiaffine transforms of singular unitaries*, Acta Sci. Math. **77** (2011), 589–606.

[23] A. Gheondea, *When are the products of normal operators normal?*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) **52(100)** (2009), no. 2, 129–150.

[24] S. Kupin, *Linear resolvent growth test for similarity of a weak contraction to a normal operator*, Ark. Mat. **39** (2001), no. 1, 95–119.

[25] S. Kupin, *Operators similar to contractions and their similarity to a normal operator*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), no. 3, 753–768.

[26] S. Kupin and S. Treil, *Linear resolvent growth of a weak contraction does not imply its similarity to a normal operator*, Illinois J. Math. **45** (2001), no. 1, 229–242.

[27] M. M. Malamud, *On similarity of a triangular integral operator to a diagonal one*, Spectral and evolution problems, Vol. 11 (Sevastopol, 2000), Natl. Taurida Univ. "V. Vernadsky", Simferopol′, 2001, pp. 50–64.

[28] A. S. Markus, *Some tests of completeness of the system of root vectors of a linear operator and the summability of series in that system*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **155** (1964), 753–756 (Russian).

[29] M. S. Moslehian and S. M. S. Nabavi Sales, *Some conditions implying normality of operators*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (2011), no. 5-6, 251–254.

[30] S. N. Naboko, *Conditions for similarity to unitary and selfadjoint operators*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **18** (1984), no. 1, 16–27.

[31] ———, *Functional model of perturbation theory and its applications to scattering theory*, Proc. Steklov Inst. of Mathematics **147** (1981), 85–116. Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 10.
[32] S. N. Naboko and C. Tretter, *Lyapunov stability of a perturbed multiplication operator*, Contributions to operator theory in spaces with an indefinite metric (Vienna, 1995), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 106, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 309–326.
[33] T. Nieminen, *A condition for the self-adjointness of a linear operator*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I No **316** (1962), 5.
[34] N. K. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 2*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 93, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
[35] N. Nikolski and S. Treil, *Linear resolvent growth of rank one perturbation of a unitary operator does not imply its similarity to a normal operator*, J. Anal. Math. **87** (2002), 415–431.
[36] V. Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[37] V. V. Peller, *Spectrum, similarity, and invariant subspaces of Toeplitz operators*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **50** (1986), no. 4, 776–787, 878 (Russian).
[38] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 299, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[39] L. A. Sakhnovich, *Nonunitary operators with absolutely continuous spectrum*, Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **33** (1969), no. 1, 52–64.
[40] J. G. Stampfli, *Hyponormal operators and spectral density*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 469–476.
[41] ———, *A local spectral theory for operators*, J. Functional Analysis **4** (1969), 1–10.
[42] B. de Sz. Nagy, *On uniformly bounded linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math. **11** (1947), 152–157.
[43] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert. X. Contractions similaires à des transformations unitaires*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **26** (1965), 79–91 (French).
[44] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaș, *Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*, Masson et Cie, Paris; Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1967 (French).
[45] A. S. Tikhonov, *A functional model and duality of spectral components for operators with a continuous spectrum on a curve*, Algebra i Analiz **14** (2002), no. 4, 158–195 (Russian); English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **14** (2003), no. 4, 655–682.
[46] B. Undrakh, H. Nakazato, A. Vandanjav, and M.-T. Chien, *The numerical radius of a weighted shift operator*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **30** (2015), 944–963.
[47] V. Vasyunin and S. Kupin, *Criteria for the similarity of a dissipative integral operator to a normal operator*, Algebra i Analiz **13** (2001), no. 3, 65–104; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **13** (2002), no. 3, 389–416.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Herschel Building, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK
E-mail address: michael.dritschel@ncl.ac.uk

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco 28049 (Madrid), Spain
E-mail address: daniel.estevez@uam.es

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco 28049 (Madrid), Spain, and Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC - UAM - UC3M - UCM)
E-mail address: dmitry.yakubovich@uam.es